

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/705,967	JOSTEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00 pm

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 23-25.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Applicant to discuss minor errors in claims and 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. Applicant agreed and suggested to do Examiner's Amendment. For details, see Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

S. Pannala
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)